Annex I

Assessment Scheme for the Evaluation Committee for Assessing Candidates for the Selection of a Vice-Chancellor (Mark Sheet I)

A Seven Point Evaluation Scheme for Document Evaluation and Interview (Please see overleaf for guidelines)

University	<i>i</i> :	

Name of the Candidate :

No.		Criteria & Elements	Weightage	Marks (Max Each Crite Maximum Marks Allotted		Weighted Score (Weightage X Marks)	Remarks
1	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Strategic Focus Ability to think strategically and steer the institution in line with institutional goals and national needs Clarity of the vision stated Practicality of the vision stated Quality and relevance of the strategies mentioned Evidence for proven strategy implementation Evidence for candidate's ability to think strategically on	2	10 15 15 30 30			
	Sub-total for Section 1	contemporary issues Effective Leadership		100	0	0	
	2.1	Proven capability of leading an institution in an exemplary manner Significant institutional developments initiated / achieved as a Vice Chancellor		20			

	4.1	Career progression as an academic and the level of involvement in improving relevance and quality of study programmes		25			
		Proven track record in academic and research matters					
	Section 3	Academic and Research Excellence					
	Sub-total for Section 3			100	0	0	
	3.4	Evidence for broader understanding of socio-economic and religious-cultural forces and their possible current and future impact on the institutions		25			
3	3.3	Evidence for developing viable solutions for institutional/academic/professional issues with the engagement of all key stakeholders		30			
	3.2	Evidence of understanding complex challenges faced by the institutions and demonstrating a holistic approach	1	25			
	3.1	Evidence of working with both public and private sectors on advisory capacity on sectoral / national development		20			
		Holistic Thinking/Conceptual Skills Ability to see the "big picture" from global and national perspectives with an integrated approach					
	Sub-total for Section 2			100	0	0	
	2.5	Evidence provided on the recognition by the public at large		20			
	2.4	Leadership Positions held in Professional and Statutory Bodies, Public or Private Sector Organizations		20			
		Director/Welfare or Proctor or in a similar capacity Evidence of significant contributions in Executive/ Non-executive					
2	2.3	Significant achievements / developments or reforms initiated as a Head of Department or Director of a Centre/Unit or		20			
	2.2	Deputy Vice Chancellor/ Rector / Director of an Institute / Dean of a Faculty	2	20			
		Significant institutional developments initiated / achieved as]	

	4.2	Evidence for proven track record of research performance and	1.5	25			
4		research promotion					
'	4.3	Evidence of academic expertise in his /her discipline and other		25			
	4.5	broad areas		25			
	4.4	Evidence for outstanding academic and research recognition at		25			
	4.4	institutional / national /international levels		25			
	Sub-total for		1	100		_	
	Section 4			100	0	0	
		Personal Integrity					
		Unblemished career with transparency in all dealings					
	5.1	Evidence for unblemished track record] 1	25			
5	5.2	Evidence for appreciations for integrity	7 *	25			
	5.3	Evidence for guiding others ethically	1	25			
	5.4	Evidence for being a role model for the students and faculty		25			
	Sub-total for			100	0	0	
	Section 5			100	U	U	
		Professional Communication					
		Ability to communicate with clarity and confidence					
	6.1	Evidence for persuasive speaking in public fronts		25			
	6.2	Evidence for leading successful discussions / deliberations	1	25			
6	6.3	Evidence for publishing articles for general public		25			
	6.4	Evidence for communicating effectively within		25			
	0.4	academic/scientific/public/media forums		25			
	Sub-total for		1	100	0	0	
	Section 6			100	U	U	
		Managerial Competence					
		Proven track record of consistent high performance in					
		administration					
	7.1	Evidence for sound financial administration		25			
		The second secon	1			Ī	1
	7.2 7.3	Evidence for quality academic administration	1.5	25			

7.4	Evidence for having sound understanding on statutes, establishment and financial management procedures pertaining to university administration		25			
Sub-total for Section 7		10	100	0	0	
	TOTAL SCORE (Out of 1000)				0	

Name of the E	valuator:		
Signature :		Date :	

Guidelines for Evaluation Committee members

Marksheet I

Guidelines for the Evaluation Committee members on the use Marksheet I are given below.

- i) Evaluation Committee Members shall perform a <u>Desk Evaluation</u> of candidates by examining the information/evidences provided in the documents submitted as per the Paragraph iii. and/or iv. of the **Commission Circular No. 02/2020 dated 04th May 2020** and arrive at a tentative judgment on the extent of fulfillment of the prescribed elements of respective criteria and assign tentative marks accordingly and arrive at a total score for each criterion and the candidate. Desk evaluation shall be completed before the scheduled meeting of the Evaluation Committee.
- ii) The final marks for individual elements and for each criterion, and the candidate's total score shall be arrived only after interviewing the individual candidate.